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To develop more better infrastructure and services，we are conducting analysis based on behavior models．
－Prediction Accuracy：issue of model parameter estimation
－Model Interpretability：issue of understanding model（behavior）

## Discrete choice model

$$
P(i)=\frac{\exp \left(\mu V_{i}\right)}{\sum_{j \in C} \exp \left(\mu V_{j}\right)}
$$

Source：Small data Function：Liner Accuracy（Model fitting）：Mid（Low？） Interpretability ：High Good understanding travel behavior ？

Machine Learning


Source：Big data
Function：Non－Liner
Accuracy（Model fitting）：High Interpretability：Low NOT understanding travel behavior ？

Considering the possibility of collaboration between DCM and ML from the perspective of Aaccuracy and Interpretability

## 畾国 Overview of ML



## How to collaborate DCM and ML ?

Application to research field

- You can (not) apply behavior model-

1．Al based road traffic observation system
－Automatic traffic data collection using CCTV camera for whole national roads managed by the MLIT．
－Apply to CNN（YOLO）and transfer－learning by original data．
－Development of annotation－free self－learning algorithm．
2．Data generation by multi－data fusion
－Fusion of multiple data source such as national census，traffic count and probe．
－OD data generation by Gaussian Process model．
－Activity data generation by GAN．
3．NN based choice model and Activity simulation
－Non－liner choice model by neural network（NN）
－Consider explainability of Machine learning．
－Develop the NN type activity simulator and application to Tokyo Metropolitan area．

## 㽗国 Summary of Topic 1

## 1．Al based road traffic observation system

－Apply to CNN（YOLO＋DeepSORT）and transfer－learning by original data．
－Obtained high accuracy of over $95 \%$




## 㽗国 Summary of Topic 1

## 1．Al based road traffic observation system

－High accuracy detection of car type，bicycle，pedestrian
－Optimize count line in CCTV
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車種区分（7種類）
．普通乗用車
2．軽乗用車
3．軽貨物車
4．小型华物車
5．普通貨物車
6．バス
7．特殊車両


## 㽗围 Summary of Topic 1

## 1．Al based road traffic observation system

－Development of annotation－free self－learning algorithm．


横断歩道上で歩行者 の検知精度が低い

AIモデルで学習ターゲット のラベルを持つ領域を抽出


背景画像に合成



Mask R－CNNを使用


ラベルと輪郭情報を取得

## 㽗国 Summary of Topic 2

2. Data generation by multi-data fusion

- Fusion of multiple data source such as national census, traffic count and probe.
- Link volume estimation by GCN+LSTM.
- Realtime OD data generation by Gaussian Process Regression.
- Activity data generation by GAN.

GCN+LSTM
Generate link Vol


GPR
Generate OD Vol



## 畾国 Our on-going research topics

1. Al based road traffic observation system

- Automatic traffic data collection using CCTV camera for whole national roads managed by the MLIT.
- Apply to CNN (YOLO) and transfer-learning by original data.
- Development of annotation-free self-learning algorithm.

2. Data generation by multi-data fusion

- Fusion of multiple data source such as nationall census, traffic count and probe.
- OD data generation by Gaussian Process model.
- Activity data generation by GAN.

3. NN based choice model and Activity simulation

- Non-liner choice model by neural network (NN)
- Consider explainability of Machine learning.
- Develop the NN type activity simulator and application to Tokyo Metropolitan area.

1．Background and Objective of the Study
2．What is XAI（explainable AI）？
3．Application of XAI
4．Change Neural Network structure and comparison of MNL and NN

5．Activity Simulation in Tokyo area

## 1. Background and Objective of the Study

2. What is XAI(explainable AI)?
3. Application of XAI
4. Change Neural Network structure and comparison of MNL and NN
5. Activity Simulation in Tokyo area

## Travel Behavior model

## Discrete Choice Model（DCM）

－Highly interpretable
－It has been used from the viewpoints of


Interpretability ＂interpretability＂and＂logicality＂

## Machine Learning（ML）

－High descriptive performance
－Black box models，lack of interpretability
－Development of interpretive indicators（Explainable AI：XAI） of sensitivity and predictive basis
>PI, PD, CPD, SHAP

## Applicability of ML in Travel Behavior Models

＞Development of travel behavior model with neural network $>$ Applying XAI to understanding travel behavior

## 畾㬂 Contents
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Explainable $\mathbf{A l}(X A I)$ is a tool for interpreting machine learning
－Mainly focused on improving accuracy in＂regression＂and＂classification＂
－It can add interpretability to black box models

－In meeting fairness，transparency，and accountability，
$>$ Necessity to know about prediction basis and learning process of the model
$>$ The basis for the output of the model
$>$ How much the feature values affect the prediction values
－Developing a mode choice model with ML，and applying XAI to it．
－Comparing interpretability and accuracy with discrete choice model

## 畾㬂 Explainability of ML

In machine learning, there is a tradeoff between the complexity (= accuracy) of the problem and its explainability.


## 畾团 Explainability of ML

## Tree model



# 畾䦩 Explainability of ML 

## CNN model



## （1）Permutation Importance（PI）

＞Calculate the feature importance of the model by randomly shuffling features．
＞Determine which features are important for the accuracy


## （2）Partial Dependence（PD）

＞Calculate the average relationship between features and predictions to see how a particular feature affects the model＇s predictions．
＞Determine whether the feature and the predicted value are proportional or inversely proportional，linear or nonlinear．
（3）Conditional Partial Dependence（CPD）
$>$ Indicators grouping PD．
$>$ determine heterogeneity by group．


Feature X


Feature X

## （4）SHapley Additive exPlanations（SHAP）

$>$ Calculate the contribution of the features to the predictions．
＞Shapley Value in Cooperative Game Theory is Reflected in Machine Learning．
$>$ Shapley Value：An index used as a basis for profit sharing．
＞interpret why model outputs such predictions．

## Comparison of analytical granularity

Macro

Micro

## About SHAP

－Support for micro and macro analysis
－Instance－by－instance micro analysis
－Can be used as a macro method like PI，PD by aggregating and visualizing
－Explain specific examples later

## Comparison of usage

Weak usage relatively safe

Strong usage Caution is needed

## Model debugging

－Determine if it is consistent with prior knowledge or if there is unexpected behavior

## Interpret black box models

－The model emphasizes feature A
－As the feature value increases，the predicted value increases
$\rightarrow$ One aspect of the model can be misinterpreted

## Exploring causality

－Interpret model behavior as causality
$\rightarrow$ Need to use methods of rigorous causal inference together
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## 㽗国 Mode choice model with Neural Network

Features


## Accuracy

0.945

- The middle layer has 4 layers.
- Number of units is in order of 100,100,50,10.


## Input Layer Tokyo Person Trip data Output Layer

Gender, Age, Occupation, Income,
Travel time, Stay time, Purpose,
Departure facility, Destination facility, Departure zone, Destination zone, Departure time, Destination time,

Choice probability of each mode Train, Bus, Car,
Motorcycle, Bicycle, Walk Number of trip, Each mode fare, Each travel time

However, this model is poor interpretability in this states.
$\rightarrow$ apply XAll to NN to give interpretability

- Applying PI to NN transportation mode choice model
$>$ PI: calculate feature importance


PD：The relationship between features and predictions
＞horizontal axis：Travel time（minutes），vertical axis：Choice Probability

－Changing travel time of each mode．
－It was confirmed that there was a probability transition with an inflection point
－Capture behavioral changes that have an inflection point．

Capturing the heterogeneity of each group ＞horizontal axis：Travel time（minutes），vertical axis：Choice Probability






－Classifying trip purpose into 5.
－For example，people who commute to work（commuting to work or school） tended to choose trains more easily than other trip purposes．
－No significant heterogeneity was confirmed from the results

## Summary plot

$>$ Visualization of the contribution of features to the objective variable


Similar to PI, but different in the definition of what constitutes an important feature PI

Importance based on model performance degradation

## SHAP

How much does it affect the objective variable

Trip departure and arrival times have a large effect, and it is thought that the fixed mode is selected.
"Waterfall plot" and "Dependence plot"


## Transition of an individual's choices

- Probability transition of an individual's choice of railroad
- In the discrete choice model, it was the parameter of the entire data, but in SHAP, it is possible to see the individual params.



## Relationship SHAP and feature

- The travel time of the train positively increases the choice probability.
- By adding another feature, we can analyze interrelationship
- It is easy to select the train for trips that the travel time of the train and cost of the car are large.

27
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## 畾国 Change mode choice model(NN+MNL)

## Networks reflecting elasticity

$>$ Considering elasticity by changing the model structure like MNL
$>$ Sifringer, Brian, Virginie Lurkin, and Alexandre Alahi. "Enhancing discrete choice models with representation learning." Transportation Research Part B: Methodological 140 (2020): 236-261.


- Avoiding travel time from the hidden layer by skip connection and incorporate it linearly.
- Estimation results show that the parameters for bicycling and walking are negative.
- The travel time, which is an important feature, is incorporated linearly, the accuracy of the model is inferior to normal NN(Dense NN acc 0.945).


## Estimated by MNL for comparison with NN and NN＋MNL

|  | MNL：Generic parameter |  | MNL：Specified parameters |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Variable | params | t－value | params | t－value |
| ASC＿train | -0.305 | $-0.887^{* * *}$ | -0.0285 | -0.0743 |
| ASC＿bus | -1.10 | $-5.55^{* *}$ | -2.15 | $-7.41^{* * *}$ |
| ASC＿bike | -1.95 | $-8.82^{* * *}$ | -1.86 | $-4.91^{* * *}$ |
| ASC＿cycle | 1.29 | $7.97^{* * *}$ | 1.38 | $5.20^{* * *}$ |
| ASC＿walk | 4.03 | $16.5^{* * *}$ | 3.66 | $12.7^{* * *}$ |
| Cost | -0.0303 | $-0.725^{* *}$ | -0.0160 | -0.398 |
| Short trip dummy | 0.785 | $2.57^{*}$ | -0.0199 | -0.0537 |
| Access distance | 0.670 | $9.43^{* * *}$ | 0.806 | $9.16^{* * *}$ |
| Urban dummy | -1.99 | $-11.0^{* * *}$ | -1.01 | $-3.81^{* * *}$ |
| Travel time | -0.166 | $-15.8^{* * *}$ |  |  |
| Train travel time |  |  | 0.176 | $28.5^{* * *}$ |
| Bus travel time |  |  | 0.0187 | $2.622^{*}$ |
| Car travel time |  |  | 0.0789 | $14.6^{* *}$ |
| Motorcycle travel time |  |  | 0.0230 | 1.32 |
| Bicycle travel time |  | -1000 | -0.0773 | $-5.933^{* * *}$ |
| Walk travel time | -731 | -0.105 | $-10.2^{* * *}$ |  |
| Samples | 0.583 |  | 1000 |  |
| Init log likelihood | 0.761 |  | -1779 |  |
| Final log likelihood |  |  |  | -695 |
| Rho bar square |  |  |  | 0.601 |
| Accuracy |  |  |  | 0.817 |

## Generic parameter

－The travel time parameter is negative and satisfies the sign condition

## Specified parameters

－Compare with NN＋MNL
－Parameters of bicycle and walk are considered negative because the mode avoids long distance travel．
－The positive／negative of the parameter is the same as the result of NN

# Comparison of probability transition 

PD（MNL＋NN model，NN，Generic params MNL，Specified params MNL）

－＂MNL same＂params are common，so it is similar outlines for all modes．
－＂MNL different＂and＂MNL＋NN＂model have similar outlines because the signs of the parameters are the same in all modes．
－Although we do not know the true value，we believe that NN with nonlinearity can express the actual behavior well．

畕国 Comparison DCM(MNL) and NN

| DCM(MNL) | NN | DCM +NN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| generic /specified |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | (0) | ( |

- Feature Importance
- Sensitivity analysis (Nonlinear)
- Group heterogeneity
- Feature contribution
- Overall and individual parameters
- Feature Importance
- Sensitivity analysis (Linear)
- Group heterogeneity
- Feature contribution
- Overall and individual parameters

- Acc 0.854
- High computation speed
- Slow compute speed • High computation speed


## Logicality

Accuracy

- Utility Function

$$
\text { Acc } 0.761 \quad 0.817
$$




- Minimize loss function

- Minimize loss function

Use ML when descriptive performance is more important than logicality

# 1. Background and Objective of the Study 
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5. Activity Simulation in Tokyo area


| Activity | tures from Tokyo PT data | Target val． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Features | 11 choice HWH，HSH，HPPH．．． |
| Dept．time | Without Dept．time，Arr．Time | $\begin{gathered} 17 \text { choice } \\ 5: 00,6: 00 \ldots 21: 00 \end{gathered}$ |
| Location | Without zone infomation | 615 choice千代田区，港区，江東区… |
| Mode | Without Main mode | 6 choice <br> Train，Bus，Car Motorcycle，Bike， Walk |

## Goodness of fit

- NN has High hit ratio more than MNL.
- All models showed improved generalization performance.

Forecasting

- More accurate prediction of activity than DCM

Hit Ratio



## 畾国 Application to Tokyo Metropolitan area

## Simulation analysis of earthquake in Tokyo

＞Setting hypothetical earthquake scenario．
＞The earthquake was assumed to occur at 6：00pm．
＞Visualization of 1 hour（6：00－pm7：00pm）trip＂uncontrol＂and ＂control＂case from the time of the disaster．
uncontrolled

controlled


## 㽗国 Structure of Activity model

uncontrolled assume "freedom" departure time choice


controlled
assume "control" departure time choice
(Divide departure time into three groups)



Thank you for your attention!


## Spare Slide

- Transportation choice model by machine learning
- Interpretability is taken into consideration by the interpretation index (XAI) in machine learning
- PI,PD,CPD,SHAP
- Ability to interpret models from both macro and micro perspectives
- Elasticity can be reflected by changing the model structure by skip connection
- Discrete Choice Model and Machine Learning "Proper use" and "Combined use"


## Proper use

- Discrete choice model is used when theory is required such as decision of transportation policy
- Use machine learning when descriptive performance is more important than theoretical


## Combined use

- Using results derived from a theoretical model (discrete choice model) and a high-precision model (NN) makes it possible to make more accurate decisions.


## －Applying PI to NN transportation mode choice model

$>$ PI：calculate feature importance


## 畾国 Partial Dependence(PD)

Reflected the selection probability of other modes >horizontal axis: Travel time(minutes), vertical axis: Probability


- Add stochastic transitions of other modes in addition to the stochastic transitions of the target mode
- Confirmed it, the nonlinearity of other modes was confirmed


## beeswarm plot

$>$ In addition to the summary plot，a graph reflecting the size of the feature value

Time＿walk DestinationTime

OriginTime Cost＿train StayTime
Time＿bus avail＿car Cost＿car Time＿car Time＿bike Cost＿bus distance Time＿train Age Egress Access
avail＿bike
avail＿walk
avail＿train avail＿bus


Feature Importance in railroad
－Larger feature values are redder， smaller feature values are bluer．
－The higher the feature value， the more important it is．
－For travel time
The greater the travel time， the greater the SHAP value will also increase．

Greater probability of choosing rail

Importance of features by Permutation Importance $>$ Index for calculating feature importance in a model
$>$ Shuffles certain features，resulting in worse prediction accuracy
$\rightarrow$ Important features
random shuffle

| PersonID | Age | Gender | Travel time | PersonID | Age | Gender | Travel time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 24 | M | 15 | 1 | 24 | M | 30 |
| 2 | 15 | F | 30 | 2 | 15 | F | 20 |
| 3 | 30 | M | 35 | 3 | 30 | M | 15 |
| 4 | 46 | F | 20 | 4 | 46 | F | 35 |

$>$ Can be calculated by performing the same process for each feature
$>$ A feature is important in the model if the error in the model predicted by the randomized feature is large．
$>$ The difference of the loss function is the feature importance．
＞Importance for model accuracy and causal interpretation is inappropriate
$>$ It is important to perform deeper analysis on features of higher importance

## How each feature affects the model＇s predictions

$>$ Calculate the average relationship between features and predictions
$>$ It is possible to determine whether the model＇s predictions increase as the feature size increases，or whether the relationship is nonlinear
$>$ Analyze sensitivity by moving only certain features while fixing other features

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Averages forecast results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{X}_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | prediction |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | $f(1,2,5)$ | $\frac{1}{3}\{f(1,2,5)+f(1,7,2)+f(1,3,4)\}$ |
|  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 2 | $f(1,7,2)$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | $f(1,3,4)$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | prediction | $\frac{1}{3}\{f(2,2,5)+f(2,7,2)+f(2,3,4)\}$ |
| 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | $f(2,2,5)$ |  |
| 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | $f(2,7,2)$ |  |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $f(2,3,4)$ |  |
|  |  |  | $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | prediction | $\frac{1}{3}\{f(3,2,5)+f(3,7,2)+f(3,3,4)\}$ |
|  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 5 | $f(3,2,5)$ |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 2 | $f(3,7,2)$ |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | $f(3,3,4)$ |  |

- Reflecting Shapley Value in Cooperative Game Theory in Machine Learning
- Shapley Value: Indicators used as criteria for profit sharing
- Used to distribute the profits from the cooperation of multiple players according to each player's contribution.

| participant | reward |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | 6 |
| B | 4 |
| C | 2 |
| A,B | 20 |
| A,C | 15 |
| B,C | 10 |
| A,B,C | 24 |


| feature | Predicted <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | 1.0 |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{1}$ | 0.7 |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{2}$ | 0.3 |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{0}, \boldsymbol{X}_{1}$ | 2.5 |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{0}, \boldsymbol{X}_{2}$ | 1.5 |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{2}, \boldsymbol{X}_{3}$ | 1.0 |
| $\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathbf{0}}, \boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{2}$ | 3.0 |
| Machine Learning |  |

$$
\phi_{i}(v)=\sum_{S \leq N(i\}} \frac{|S|!(n-|S|-1)!}{n!}(v(S \cup\{i\})-v(S))
$$

$$
\phi_{i}=\sum_{S \subseteq N \backslash\{i\}} \frac{|S|!(n-|S|-1)!}{n!}\left(f_{x}(S \cup\{i\})-f_{x}(S)\right)
$$

