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## Background: Train Congestion in Tokyo Metropolitan Area

- Railway Passenger \& Revenue of the JR-East:

- Ridership \& revenue were reduced sharply by the COVID-19 pandemic

The Japan Times, 2020/07:

- JR-East considered to introduce a time-based fare system
The Japan News, 2022/03:
- Aim to introduce off-peak commuter pass in 2023 spring
- Peak hours: fare $\uparrow$; off-peak hours: fare $\downarrow$


## Purposes of JR-EAST to impose such policy in the post-pandemic era:

- Reduce train congestion during peak hours
$\rightarrow$ Continue to maintain social distance
- Generate sustainable revenue


## Background: Train Congestion in Tokyo Metropolitan Area

## Objective:

Compare people's changes in railway price elasticity between 2019 and 2021.
Apply the time-based fare system (increasing fare in peak hours).
Estimate and evaluate the policy's effectiveness.

## Basic analysis

We applied Toyosu PP data because it includes data before and after covid19.

Mode Share in 2019


Mode Share in 2021


- Train $\quad$ Bus ■ Car ■ Bike ■ Walk ■ Others


## Basic analysis



2021 (After COVID-19)


Based on the departure time distribution of railway trips, we set " $7 \mathrm{am}-9 \mathrm{am}$ " and " $5 \mathrm{pm}-7 \mathrm{pm}$ " as peak hours for railway.

## Basic analysis

## During peak hours, most of the railway passenger are commuters. 2019 (Before COVID-19) <br> 2021 (After COVID-19)

Occupation of railway passengers during peak hours


Occupation of railway passengers during peak hours


## Basic analysis

2019 (Before COVID-19)


2021 (After COVID-19)


## Basic analysis

If the same group of people $(\mathrm{n}=150)$ is traced from 2019 to 2021:

2019 (Before COVID-19)


2021 (After COVID-19)


## Multinomial Logit Model

## Utility Function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\text {train }}=\beta_{1} T T_{\text {train }}+\beta_{2} \text { Fare }_{\text {train }}+\beta_{3} \delta_{\text {peak }} \text { Fare }_{\text {train }}+\beta_{4} \delta_{\text {commute }} \text { Fare }_{\text {train }}+\beta_{5} \delta_{\text {young }}+\beta_{6} \delta_{\text {mid }}+\beta_{7} \delta_{\text {weekday }} \\
& V_{\text {bus }}=\beta_{1} T T_{\text {bus }}+\beta_{0(\text { train })} \\
& V_{2} \text { Fare }_{\text {bus }}+\beta_{3} \delta_{\text {peak }} \text { Fare }_{\text {bus }}+\beta_{4} \delta_{\text {commute }} \text { Fare }_{\text {bus }}+\beta_{5} \delta_{\text {young }}+\beta_{6} \delta_{\text {mid }}+\beta_{7} T T_{\text {car }}+\delta_{\text {weekday }} \delta_{\text {young }}+\beta_{6} \delta_{\text {mid }}+\beta_{0(\text { car })} \\
& V_{\text {bike }}=\beta_{1} T T_{\text {bike }}+\beta_{5} \delta_{\text {young }}+\beta_{6} \delta_{\text {mid }}+\beta_{0(\text { bike })} \\
& V_{\text {walk }}=\beta_{1} T T_{\text {walk }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{\text {peak }}=1 \text { if it's peak hour; } 0 \text { otherwise }
$$

$$
\delta_{\text {commute }}=1 \text { if individual has job(employee, wartime); } 0 \text { otherwise }
$$

$$
\delta_{\text {young }}=1 \text { if individual's age } \leq 29 ; 0 \text { otherwise }
$$

$$
\delta_{\text {mid }}=1 \text { if } 30 \leq \text { individual's age } \leq 59 ; 0 \text { otherwise }
$$

$$
\delta_{\text {weekday }}=1 \text { if it's weekday; } 0 \text { otherwise }
$$

## Model result

2019

| coefficient | Coefficient value | T-value | $\mathrm{N}=15148$ <br> $\mathrm{~L}(0)=-19706.18$ <br> $\mathrm{LL}=-12213.93$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ASC_rail | 1.6504 | 16.7323 | Rho-square $=0.3802$ <br> Adjusted rho-square $=0.3797$ |
| ASC_bus | -0.0932 | -0.8501 | -17.9950 |

## Model result

2021

| coefficient | Coefficient value | T-value | $\mathrm{N}=29900$ <br> $\mathrm{~L}(0)=-37371.59$ <br> $\mathrm{LL}=-26045.62$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ASC_rail | 1.8037 | 25.6000 | Rho-square $=0.3031$ <br> Adjusted rho-square $=0.3028$ |
| ASC_bus | 0.8903 | 11.3000 | -30.4886 |

## Model result

Aggregated price sensitivity

| Year | Multinomial Logit |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak | Off-peak |
| 2019 | -0.1322 | -0.2295 |
| 2021 | -0.3943 | -0.4933 |

## Policy application

How much should rail company increase the fare after Covid-19?

share $=$ the average probability of choosing rail
revenue = the sum of rail fare of all individuals who chose rail

## Policy application

| Increasement in fare <br> for peak trip (\%) | Change in rail share <br> [Comparing to before <br> policy] (\%) | Change in revenue <br> [Comparing to before policy] <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | -0.77 | 1.40 |
| 10 | -1.56 | 2.84 |
| 15 | -2.37 | 4.18 |
| 20 | -3.19 | 5.29 |
| 25 | -4.02 | 5.87 |
| 30 | -4.85 | 6.60 |
| 40 | -6.53 | 7.40 |
| 50 | -8.22 | 7.77 |

## Policy application

Absolute Percent change due to increase in fare during peak hours


## Discussion

- People are more sensitive to rail transportation's price after covid
- They prefer more safety modes such as walking, biking and driving
- Our results suggest that...
- price increment should be applied during peak hours
- The increasement in price should lesser than around $47 \%$ of current fare in order to gain revenue more than losing share
- However, our model's accuracy is low and simple
- more accurate model should be investigated
- use more advanced models to corporate unobserved heterogeneity $\rightarrow$ Mixed logit

