The Effectiveness of Trams ~A Case Study of Matsuyama~

Hiroshima University_A Tetsuro Sakai Seiya Tsukamoto Toshimitsu Nishikiori Aira Bando Motoki Takai

Background



Tramway



Motorization



Automobile Society



Data Matuyama

- <u>UserInfo.xls Google スプレッドシート</u>
- <u>松山2007 Google ドライブ</u> Number of Data: Over 7000
 - →Extract data only for central Matsuyama City as the starting point. Number of Data: 4017





Basic Aggregation

Purpose N=5929

Transportation N=5970



Model Estimation

Transportation Selection :Car, Tram, JR, Bus, Motorcycle, Bicycle, Walk, Taxi

Tram 180 Yen, JR 360 Yen, bus 160 Yen (each fare is fixed)

Model Estimation Results

	===			===:	===		===	===	===		===		===
			====	-====		======				0.0751			
	coef	coef std err		Z		P> z		[0.025		0.975]			
Intercept nan	-0.	-0.8204		nan i		nan n		an r		ıan			
CAR_TIME	-	<mark>-35.7876</mark>		8.056		-4.443		<mark>0.000</mark>		-51.576		-19.999	
CAR_COST		-40.4450		24.406		-1.657		0.097		-88.280		7.390	
TRAM_TIME		0.1273	11	184	0	.011	0	.991	-2	1.792	2	2.047	
TRAM_DISTA	ANCE	-0.02	276	0.9	78	-0.0	28	0.9	78	-1.94	14	1.88	9
TRAM_COST		-2.6726	5 2	.831	- (0.944	0	.345	-	8.221	2	2.876	
JR_TIME	-().7058	33.65	50	-0.0	21	0.98	33	-66.	658	65.	247	
JR_DISTANC	E	0.0073	4.	490	0	.002	0.	999	-8	8.793	8	.808	
JR_COST	-	1.4628	6.37	3	-0.2	30	0.81	18	-13.	954	11.0	029	
BUS_TIME		0.0379	3.4	36	0.0	11	0.9	91	-6.6	696	6.7	72	
BUS_COST		-1.8769	7.5	508	-0.	250	0.8	303	-16	6.593	12	2.839	
BIKE_TIME		0.0240	2.4	05	0.0	10	0.99	92	-4.6	690	4.7	38	
BIKE_COST		1.5859		21.003		0.076		0.940		-39.580		42.752	
BICYCLE_TI	ИE	6.707	1 4	.697	1	L.428	0	.153	-	2.498	1	5.912	
BICYCLE_DI	STAN	ICE <mark>1.5</mark>	5 <mark>370</mark>	0.!	556	2.7	63	<mark>0.0</mark>	<mark>06</mark>	0.44	17	2.62	7
WALK_TIME		<mark>9.4996</mark>	3.	520	2.	699	0.	<mark>007</mark>	2.	601	16.	398	
WALK_DIST	ANCE	2.11	<mark>.07</mark>	0.94	45	2.23	3	<mark>0.02</mark>	2 <mark>6</mark>	0.258	3	3.963	
TAXI_TIME		5.1160	6.18	34	0.8	27	0.40	08	-7.0	03	17.2	235	
TAXI_DISTAN	NCE	0.430	1	nan		nan		nan	I	nan	n	an	
TAXI_COST		-0.0364	n	an	na	an	na	n	na	n	nan		
SEX 1.355	0.1	195 0	.630	0.1	189	0.8	50	-1.	116				
AGE 0.385	-0.2	2356 ().317	-0	.744	0.	457	-0).856	i			

Discussion

- (CAR_TIME): The more time required by car, the less the probability of selecting a car. This is reasonable.
- However, the other explanatory variables were not reasonable or statistically significant. Likelihood ratios were not obtained in the first place.



Subject

- Cross-tabulations and analysis with less data were not possible.
 →We will analyze the data more than this time and grasp the characteristics of the data.
- I could not analyze with a small number of explanatory variables, or add or delete explanatory variables through trial and error.
- The original plan was to examine the effectiveness of streetcars in Yokohama City based on the analysis of Matsuyama City.
 →As for the analysis, we will try to start the analysis with a firm schedule.